

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

JSC Kaztemirtrans

Primary Credit Analyst:

Varvara Nikanorava, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-135; varvara_nikanorava@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Sergei Gorin, Moscow (7) 495-783-4132; sergei_gorin@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

JSC Kaztemirtrans

Credit Rating: BBB-/Stable/--

Rationale

We equalize the rating on Kazakhstan's major freight wagon owner JSC Kaztemirtrans (KTT) with our rating on Kazakhstan's national railway operator Kazakhstan Temir Zholy (KTZ; BBB-/Stable/--; Kazakhstan national scale kzAA/--/--), KTT's 100% parent. The equalization of the rating reflects our view of an almost certain likelihood that KTZ would provide financial support to KTT, if needed. We base our view on the following:

- KTT is 100% owned by KTZ. The KTZ group guarantees almost all of KTT's debt;
- KTZ views KTT as a strategic core subsidiary. KTT is tightly integrated within KTZ's freight operations--one of the group's main activities--and derives the bulk of its revenues from KTZ; and
- As one of the main subsidiaries of the KTZ group, KTT is closely associated with KTZ and we think that its default would negatively affect KTZ's access to the capital markets;
- In addition, most of the KTZ group's debt carries cross guarantees between KTZ and KTT, as well as cross-default provisions.

The stand-alone credit profile of KTT is constrained by what we see as the company's obsolete rolling stock, which results in high investment needs; high debt leverage; high exposure to foreign currency risk; and exposure to rail traffic volatility under existing short-term lease contracts.

We see rail traffic in Kazakhstan as potentially volatile, owing to its concentration on just a few commodities; its sensitivity to commodity prices; and its dependence on railroad tariffs in other transit and destination countries. In our view, KTT's lease contracts with KTZ do not provide adequate protection from this volatility. The contracts are short term and do not guarantee full or even minimum compensation, since KTZ pays only for the actual number of wagon days used while KTT must keep a specified number of wagons available for KTZ at all times.

These constraints are mitigated, in our opinion, by KTT's strong position as the major freight wagon owner in Kazakhstan, whose economy depends highly on rail transport--with significant demand for rail freight transportation--and where rail competes strongly against other means of freight transport.

S&P base-case operating scenario

In April 2012, the Kazakh Agency for the Regulation of Natural Monopolies increased the average tariff for wagon leasing by 15.5% following an 18.2% tariff increase in January 2011. We expect another, albeit less steep, tariff improvement in 2013 to support implementation of KTT's long-term investment program.

Under our base-case scenario, we believe that KTT's revenues will likely increase by about 15% in 2012, in line with the tariff increase. This is further to a 22% increase in revenues in 2011, which was also driven mainly by higher tariffs, as well as growth in demand for freight wagons. We assume a similar rate of revenue growth 2013.

KTT's profitability has been improving over recent years, and we view it as supporting the ratings. In our opinion, the improvement reflects favorable tariff regulations, but also better operating efficiency. KTT's EBITDA margin reached 54% of revenues in 2011, and we believe that it will likely remain stable in the coming years. However, some improvement in the EBITDA margin is possible as the company gradually renews its rolling stock.

S&P base-case cash flow and capital-structure scenario

We link our assessment of KTT's financial risk profile to that of KTZ. KTT raised a large part of its debt (about \$1 billion as of Dec. 31, 2011, of total adjusted debt of \$1.8 billion) in favor of KTZ or its locomotive fleet operations subsidiary, JSC Locomotiv (not rated). In addition, KTT has provided about \$170 million in guarantees for the KTZ group, while the KTZ group guarantees almost all of KTT's consolidated debt. This supports the consolidated approach we take when assessing the credit quality of KTT.

On a consolidated basis, including the recent bond issues that KTT partly onlent to the KTZ group, KTT has what we consider to be very aggressive cash flow and debt protection measures. In 2011, the ratio of funds from operations to debt was about 10% and debt to capital was about 71%. Under our current base-case rating scenario, we believe that the improvement we anticipate in cash flows on the back of increases in traffic volume and tariffs, will most likely be offset by a higher level of debt that KTT has assumed to proceed with its capital spending program. Consequently, we do not forecast an improvement in KTT's financial risk profile in the near term.

Liquidity

We view KTT's liquidity profile as "adequate," under our criteria, in line with KTZ's liquidity profile.

KTT's liquidity and cash flow generation depend on KTZ's timely payment of rolling-stock leases (86% of KTT's 2011 revenues) and the repayment of intragroup loans from KTZ and Locomotiv. We understand that KTZ coordinates treasury and liquidity centrally, and transfers cash to KTT by adjusting receivables.

We anticipate that KTT's cash flow, together with available cash balances, will likely be sufficient to cover debt maturities of about KZT4 billion over the 12 months to Sept. 30, 2013, and capital expenditure (capex) in the 12 months to end-September 2013. However, we believe that implementation of the sizable investment program could put KTT's liquidity under pressure unless the company secures the necessary financing, which we assume will be the case. Since most of its capital spending is discretionary, we believe that KTT will likely match its capex to its available funding sources.

Under its existing debt agreements, KTT is subject to a number of financial covenants that are tested on a semi-annual basis, and under which we understand the company has adequate headroom. We assume adequate headroom under the covenants through 2013.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our assumption that KTT will remain a core subsidiary of KTZ and will remain closely integrated into the group's operations. We will likely revise our rating on KTT if we revise that on KTZ.

In addition, a material change in the parent-subsidary relationship between KTT and KTZ—which we currently do not

anticipate--could cause us to change our current rating equalization approach and reassess KTT's credit quality and our corporate credit rating on KTT.

Related Criteria And Research

All articles listed below are available on RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal, unless otherwise stated.

- Methodology: Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded, Sept. 18, 2012
- Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2010
- Corporate Criteria--Parent/Subsidiary Links; General Principles; Subsidiaries/Joint Ventures/Nonrecourse Projects; Finance Subsidiaries; Rating Link to Parent, Oct. 28, 2004

Additional Contact:

Industrial Ratings Europe; CorporateFinanceEurope@standardandpoors.com

Copyright © 2013 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

McGRAW-HILL